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## Opening Remarks

## Dear Readers,

perspektive promotion started nine years ago as a distinct program for women in erested in or already pursuing a doctoate in the humanities and social sciences. The reason for the development and initiation of this human resource development program was the belowaverage proportion of women who had completed doctorates in comparison to he number of female students in these subject groups. Thanks to our success in Phases I and II of the Women Professors Program of the federal governmen and the federal states (2008-2018),
he University of Bremen was able to build up a customized counseling and advanced training program

The perspektive promotion program has been successfully implemented in re cent years and has now been evaluated The report, as well as the responses of the participants documented within t, convey a sophisticated image of the positive effects of this human resource development measure.

As such academic career developmen programs can contribute to genaer equality in academia, they are also part of the latest gender equality concept ("geschlechtergerecht 2028") of the University of Bremen. We are pleased that the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) has awarded us for our efforts with the "Excellence in Gender Equality!" distinction

The present results of the evaluation of the perspektive promotion program encourage me to continue to strive - urd gender ita lo strive tor equitable and gender competent academic human resource development at the university aided by a suitably profiled human resources development strategy and cleverly coordinated workshops, training, and programs tailored towards the target group.

On behalf of the University Executive Board, I would like to thank the project management in the Unit for Equal Opportunities / Anti-Discrimination Office and the perspektive promotion team for their expert and commilted imple mentation of the perspektive promotion program.


Dr. Martin Mehrtens
Director of Finance and Administration of the University of Bremen

## Foreword

The Unit for Equal Opportunities developed the perspektive promotion program in 2010 to support female early-career researchers aspiring a doctorate. Our objective was - and remains - to provide support for women pursuing a doctoral degree in the humanities and social sciences according to their needs. The program focuses on gender- and diversity-related issues during the doo toral studies. Workshops, peer groups with regular meetings, and one-to-one counseling form the central pillars of the initiative. This combination along with the option to choose the components individually has proven successful. We are delighted that perspektive promotion has become an important part of the University of Bremen's work to support young talent and its gender equality strategies over the past nine years.

At present, the relatively high proportion fomen in the undergraduate studies in the humanities and social sciences is not reflected at the more advanced career levels. This is where perspektiv promotion sets in. The project team designed and constantly developed the perspektive promotion program to cater for the needs of female doctoral students. On the one hand, it incorporates trainings that address the writing skills, which play an important role in these
subjects. On the other hand, it offers networking opportunities that take into account the situation of women who are pursuing individual doctorates or who are scholarship grantees. These two aspects dominate the doctoral process in the humanities and social sciences. Furthermore, the program combines workshops and trainings on relevant kills for doctoral studies with process oriented counseling. This strategy has proven immensely popular with the participants. The content and formats of perspektive promotion have also gained recognition beyond the University of Bremen. For instance, perspektive promotion was included in the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German Research Foundation, DFG) toolbox for Roerch Forented gender equalit staresor -riented gen

Our female coaches also play a major le in the success of perspektive pro motion. Besides superbly teaching the oontent of their workshops, they are traned to incorporate gender perspectives into their various training sessions. Our special thanks go to Dr. Silke Betsche who was largely responsible for designing perspektive promotion at the start of the initiative and continued to work for and enhance the program for a number of years.

We would also like to take this opportunity to thank the evaluators of the program, Marina Mayer-Tasch and Dr. Claudia Streit. With this evaluation and the preceding preparatory discussions, they preceding preparatory discussions, they have given us an even more detailed insight into the impact of and responses o perspektive promotion. In addition, w would like to thank all participants and coaches, all doctoral supervisors, and the Central Women's Representative. A of them not only made this evaluation possible with their active participation, but also enriched the program in many different ways with their involvement, eedback, and collegial dialog.

## B. Dichotf

Head of the Unit for Equal Opportunities

Uandia Cockloll
Claudia Czychol Project Coordinator

Lisa Spanea
Dr. Lisa Spanka Project Coordinator

## Paving Ways for

## Gender Equality in

## Academia

Support for women aspiring to a docforate - eight years after the initiative's launch, more than 800 early-career researchers have participated in the berspektive promotion program and it is time to take stock. perspektive promotion offers women interested in or women Iready pursuing a doctoral degree in the humanities and social sciences continuous, needs-based range of support consisting of workshops, open groups with regular meetings, and coun selling. An extensive evaluation took a 360-degree view of the project, which has developed from an innovative pilot program to a recognized case of good practice.

## I. The Concept of perspektive promotion

Starting Point
There is plenty of evidence showing the striking difference between the proportion of female students and female professors at universities, across all faculties. To counteract this disparity in the short to medium term, the Ger man Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) initiated the Women Professors Program in 2008 that aims o increase the proportion of women at all qualification stages at German higher education institions (HE). Following the measurable success of Phases I and || of the Women Professors Program, Pha se Ill was initiated in 2019. The University of Bremen successfully participated in the first two phases of the initiative receiving funding for six professorships.

To qualify as participants, the HEls had to submit a gender equality concept 2008, when the University of Bre men developed its first gender equality concept, $65 \%$ of the students in the humanities and social sciences were women.

However, only 45 \% of the successful doctoral candidates were women. ${ }^{2}$ These figures showed a clear decrease even at the first academic career level after graduation. With this in mind a part-time project position (0.5 FTE was initially created at the Unit for Equal Opportunities in 2010 to deve lop a target group-specific concept: perspektive promotion.

New Concept in a Centra Area of Activity
perspektive promotion focuses primarily on three key areas identified as relevan > Strengthening key skills needed by doctoral candidates via a program of workshops
> Networking opportunities and suppor for women during their doctora studies in open groups with regular meetings
> Process-oriented one-to-one counselling for women interested in a doc orate or who are currently pursuing doctoral degree

The design of the program provided a new approach to supporting female early-career researchers:

## Workshops

One- or two-day workshops, usually for up to 12 participants from various faculties within the humanities and social sciences, covering decision-making and embarking on doctoral studies, skills in academic research and writing, self. presentation, positioning in the acade mic world, professional goals and career planning, as well as time management.

## Peer Coaching

Regular, supervised meetings of closed groups of doctoral students plus independent meetings of tandems arising from this group.

Writing Lab and Space for Feedback on Texts Open groups with regular meetings.

## Writing Retreat

Writing workshop lasting several days. It takes place every two years for up to 12 participants to work intensively on their dissertation.

## Counselling

One-to-one counselling on issues relating to doctoral studies is available either as a one-off counselling or as processoriented.

Specific Profile
perspektive promotion makes an independent contribution in the context of supporting early-career researchers at the University of Bremen. It is the only program that is aimed solely at women as participants and extensively tegrates gender aspects into doctontegrates gender aspects into doctoral support via its workshops, training groups and counseling. The aim is to rover pursuing a doctorate, all the way from decision-making prior to starting their doctoral studies to career planning. The open groups with regular meetings and the workshops concentrate on the essentials of pursuing a doctorate but also cover every phase of the doctoral process. This establishes both continuity
and structure. The specific needs and personal circumstances of female doctoral students and the challenges they consequently face when completing a doctorate are addressed and dealt with in the relevant context. Both the selection of female coaches and the design of he workshops and training take this into ccount. These two processes are subaceor to strict qualy controls At the same ect the quary conro. At the same me, the progr team has succeeded n accumulating extensive expertise in the provision of one-to-one counselling to female early-career researchers. Last but not least, it should be mentioned that participants' childcare costs can be refunded while they are taking part in the program.

## Which of the following aspects of the perspektive promotion program

 appealed most to you?
## Multiple responses)

Free workshops / training sessions
Workshops / training sessions that take into account my personal circumstances Workshops / training sessions that

Easy access to experts in the
field of doctorals studies
Neutral support independent of supervisors Workshops / training sessions on topics hat are not covered in degree programs
or as part in the doctoral process The option of childcare 9,3\%

Other

## I. Evaluation

To find out the extent to which perspektive promotion was able to achieve its objective, various instruments were used o evaluate as many aspects and levels as possible. As internal assessments had already been completed for the first had aready been completed for the first funding phase, the evaluation concenphase
> Qualitative expert interviews were conducted to compile the experiences and knowledge of the project team and perceptions of the project by other professionals from the field of gender equality at the university.
> Qualitative interviews with the coa ches to establish outcomes such as the perceived acceptance of the program content by participants, leaming grann content by participants, learning sffects of the offered workshes effects of the offered w
aimed solely at women
A quantitative online survey of previous and current participants served to specify the benefits of the individual workshops and regular training sessions and to assess the actual support contribution of each program component. It also explicitly asked for suggestions on expanding or improving the range of workshops, training and counselling.
> Qualitative interviews with doctoral students who had participated in various perspektive promotion workshops and training sessions were
conducted to further explore the aspects covered in the quantitative survey
Lastly, qualitative interviews with doctoral supervisors of all genders in various faculties revealed faculty-specific differences relevant to the program and offered further evaluations of the program.

## 1. Offered Program and Needs

During the evaluated second funding phase of perspektive promotion, 40 workshops and three regular peer coaching groups took place in total, as well as the regular group meetings "Raum zum Schreiben". The latter is an open writing lab that ran through the whole funding phase. ${ }^{3} 416$ female doctoral students siged up for the 40 doctoral students signed up for the 40 workshops being evakated, meaning that here ber at eac workshop on average. The exact num ber of participants attending the open groups with regular meetings fluctuated from meeting to meeting, but there was always at least two-thirds of the maximum number. As far as the open writing lab was concerned, the number of people wanting to attend often ex ceeded the number of spaces available. The capacity was limited by the size of the room. Around 43 doctoral students on average took advantage of the option of one-to-one counseling each year.
/// "The focus is on women's contentment. [...] We want to give women confidence through out the process, clarity in defining roles, and provide information on options for action." Equal opportunities expert at the University of Bremen in a qualitative interview

The demand for the workshops on decision-making and clarifying research topics was always on the high side. The same applies for the sessions covering topics such as working in an academic setting and self-presentation. There was a little less interest in the "Confident Performance for English Lectures« workshop given in Engish and the Space Invaders! Empowerment-Workshop für Doktorandinnen of Color" (empowerment workshop for female doctoral students of color). These workshops were held for the first time in 2017 and 2018 respectively. Demand for workshops offering support with the writing process was at a constant high. In the case of the writing retreat spanning several days that is only held once every two years, the number of people wanting to attend exceeded the number of available spaces by far. Some workshops and regular meeting opportunities, however, were no longer offered when it came to the second funding phase. Examples in clude the informal networking gathering which became less and less popular, and the regular group called "Ich krieg die Krise" (reaular group for coning with stress, crises and conflicts durin stress, crises and

2. Supporting Women during Their Doctoral Studies

How exactly did the workshops and training support the participants during their doctoral studies? Which formats and content catered to their needs particularly effectively? And which formats and content did participants perhaps miss out on? The online survey conducted as part of the evaluation process tackled these questions in depth.

A Profile of the Participants in the Online Survey An email was sent to more or less 450 current and former participants in the perspektive promotion program to invite them to complete an online survey. A total of 123 of those asked did fill in the questionnaire, 86 were completed in full. Only the questionnaires completed in full were used for the evaluation

A majority of almost two-thirds of those who completed the online survey were pursuing a doctorate at the time. The majority had also started on their doctora project in or after 2015. Around a fifth of those who completed the survey aimed to complete their studies within the following six months. Most of those who completed the survey had last participated in a perspektive promotion workshop or training session within the past two years (62\%). The majority of them had
also taken advantage of more than one of these.

The majority of those who completed the survey were aged between 29 and 39 . Almost $56 \%$ of those who completed the survey were working in academia and $21 \%$ were not. This means that the num ber of women surveyed who were not working in academia was much higher than the national average for all graduate tudents of all genders across all faculties. ${ }^{4}$ In turn, $16 \%$ of those completing he survey stated that they had received a doctoral scholarship.
$n$ line with this, the number of female doctoral students who were able to dedicate 30 hours or more a week to their studies was also low. In fact, this applied just ten of the ones we surveyed. A quarter of those completing the survey
worked on their dissertation for ten to 19 hours a week, while this time dropped to ess than ten hours a week for another quarter.

The proportion of those completing the online survey whose aim was to pursue a career in academia after their doctoral studies was $30 \%$ - the same as the proportion of those who were looking to gro he aulification for work outide of ga - An est exacty a quar demia. Almostexaty a duater (25.5\%) said that their reasoning for completing a doctorate was purely based on an interest in studying a topic from an academic perspective and a desire to deepen their own knowledge.

Almost half of the doctoral projects being worked on by those who completed
the survey were based in Faculties 09 Cultural Studies) and 10 (Linguistics and Literary Studies).

In which faculty are you completing your doctoral studies?


Which Workshops and Training Sessions Catered to the Requirements Particularly Effectively? In order to precisely assess how effective specific content and formats were for the participants, the online survey included a multiple-choice question and an open-ended question determining an open-ended question determining ust how well the workshops and training sessions catered to the participant's requirements.

The standardized question revealed that the workshops on decision-making and embarking on doctoral studies as well as the workshops on skills in academic research and writing ranked very highly, being selected by more than a third of those completing the survey. The workshops and open groups with regular meetings with a focus on practical work on text production and the workshops on self-presentation in the academic on self-presentation in the academic word whand a third of those completing the survey selecting them. The peer coaching and me management workshops followed closely behind.

However, when participants of more than one workshop were asked which one helped them most in their doctoral studies, the results were rather different. Peer coaching was the clear winner here, having been mentioned by most participants. This was followed by the Raum zum Schreiben" writing lab the Raum zere the the thing lab, the writing a doctoral proposal.

Support Provided Through the Workshops
Virtually all of the participants in the program had had some experience with the workshop format. Almost everyone who completed the survey ( $94 \%$ ) had attended a workshop and the majority of them ( $65 \%$ ) had attended more than one. In terms of the numbers, the work one. In terms of the numbers, the work shops on skills for academic research and writing attracted the highest number of participants ( $60 \%$ of those completing the survey). These were followed by the workshops on decision-making and em barking on doctoral studies (41 \%) and the workshops on self-presentation in the academic world (32\%). Looking at the number of workshops that were offered on certain topics, time management was strongly represented (almost 35\%
of those completing the survey). Only a small proportion of those completing the survey had attended the reflective and empowerment workshops as well as the workshops on career goals and planning.

Almost 64\% of the workshop participants completing the survey stated that they found attending workshops helped them a great deal in their doctoral studies. A further $26 \%$ felt that attending workshops helped them a little. When it came to specifying how exactly they helped, some answers clearly came out on top: personal growth, motivation and perseverance, clarity regarding one's own situation in pursuing a doctorate, and networking with other female graduate students were each selected by more than $50 \%$ of the participants completing the survey.

## How did you personally benefit from the workshops?

Personal growth / empowerment
Networking with other wome pursuing a doctorate
Motivation and perseverance Clarity about my own doctoral studies situatio
Giving structure to my working process Clarty about the topic and
structure of my dissertation Finding my way in the academic world.

Reflection on (gender) Reflection on (gender)
inequality in academia Compliance with formal and academic standards


Support in the Form of Open Groups with Regular Meetings Almost a third of those completing the survey took advantage of peer coaching and the writing lab. Both groups received positive feedback when it came to the support they had offered for participants ( $78 \%$ and $93 \%$ respec tively felt the groups had helped them tively greak doals a ma tha cases, mos participants hough hat heir motivatio the ranking of benefiting factors motivation and perseverance were followed by the categories clarity about one's own doctoral studies situation, the topio and structure of one's dissertation, and giving structure to one's way of working Networking with other women pursuing a doctorate was mainly stated as being a crucial factor in the support offered by peer coaching.
/// "I took advantage of the one-to-one counselling offered by perspektive promotion too. It makes sense. Everyone I spoke to was skilled and honest. [...] It's not easy to get advice at the university."
Participant in a qualitative interview

Support in the Form of One-to-One Counselling for Female Doctoral tudents
A quarter of those completing the online survey took advantage of process-oriened one-to-one counselling for women interested in pursuing a doctorate and hose already pursuing one. Two-thirds fthose participants did so once, whil ring so once, whil he remaining third used this service ous was coaly on heting supo ha ous was coalt jupport and advice surrounding one's own personal situation rather than purely getting information.

With only one exception, those who had been in need of advice gave the service excellent feedback, with four-fifths rating $t$ as very helpful and one-fifth rating it as somewhat helpful. It is therefore possible to say that perspektive promotion has succeeded in solving the issue of a lack of decent counselling, which was fre quently the subject of complaints raised discussions with female doctoral stuents and doctoral supervisors. This has benefted the target group. The expertise input by the project staff from the outset which has been built upon further by many years of experience, is an incredibly valuable asset for the university.
3. Supporting Women in the Academic World

The following section looks at the exten to which perspektive promotion helps more women to become established in the academic world.

Workshops and Training Program Combined with Expert Knowledge Most of those completing the survey also consider the perspektive promotion workshops and training program to be helpful in terms of their own positioning in the academic world. This effect, however, was not so clearly linked to specific workshops and trainings, with one very clear exception. Peer coaching was again included most often in the open-ended answers, meaning it took the top spot as a regular group and a means of participants receiving support or their doctorate and ascertaining their own position in the academic world The hostion in he acade world. The following workshops were also named soveral ", Warun denn nicht information about the doctoral process, Promoviert und dann?" (career planning workshop), and "Gut lehren und trotzden promovieren" (workshop on combining efforts in teaching and doctoral studies) Having said all that, many answers indicated that it was primarily the combination of the workshops and training and the opportunity to talk to experts and other women pursuing a doctorate that helped worm participants son elanty with rega world and then reinforce it

Aiming for a Career in Academia? The positive responses given by the participants when asked about the perspektive promotion program do not, however, make it possible to predict whether or not there is any effect on whether female doctoral students do actually stay in academia. Athough over haff of those completing the online surley said that they are curently emirvey said that they are currently employ d in academia, only just under a third of hem are/were completing their doctora studies with the objective to pursuing an academic career. This still means tha the number of women taking part in the workshops and training provided by perspektive promotion and who are aiming or have aimed for an academic career is significantly above the national figures for he number of people with doctorates working in academia (19\%).

## Which of the following challenges do you think

## ffect women more than men?

| (Lack of balance between raising children and working to gain further academic qualifications | 77,9\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Precarious career prospects | 61,6\% |
| Lack of support in their social environment | 41,9\% |
| Finance | 34,9\% |
| Other challenges | 19,8\% |
| Finding a suitable supervisor with the right specialism | 4,7\% |
| Finding a suitable topic | 2,3\% |

/// "I find that it's only male collea gues who get permanent contracts. [...] Women leave their best years behind them at university and find themselves in a precarious situation when they enter their 40s."
Participant in a qualitative interview
A large number of those completing the survey are also aware of mechanisms of exclusion in force against women in the academic world:

To what extent would you agree with the following state with the following statement: "Generally speaking, there are certain mechanisms of exclusion in the academic world/at universities that make it more difficult for women to pursue a career in academia."


- Strongly agree
- Partially agree
- Partially disagree
- Strongly disagree

Don't know
Not specified
/// "The sessions made me more determined to complete my doctoral studies. This was down to the topics covered and the opportunities to network with other female participants."
Participant in the online survey

Skeptical Assessment of
Own Networking Skills
This view is linked to the participants' own skeptical assessment of their networking skills within the academic world. $87 \%$ of those completing the online survey considered personal networks as very important when it comes to successfully progressing within the academic world, with the remaining $13 \%$ rating them as important. Yet only one participant in the survey claimed to have a very strong network within the academic world, while 19 of the other academic world, while 19 of the other participants said ney had a strong network. More the participants in the program surveyed rated their network as average, not very strong, and even weak.
/// "It was important to me that perspektive promotion always encouraged us to think critically even about the system."
Participant in a qualitative interview

How would you rate your own network within the academic world?


The positive and negative responses to the question as to whether perspektive promotion had helped with networking in the academic world were more or less balanced, coming in at $36 \%$ and $37 \%$ respectively. An unusually high proportion of those completing the survey ( $17 \%$ ) found it impossible to answer this question and said: "Don't know."

## In your view, which measures would make it easier for women

## to pursue a career in academia? (Multiple responses)

Kindergartens at HEls
Campaigns to make all university members aware of gender equality issues More support programs for women

Endorsed Measures
Almost everyone who completed the survey believed that specific measures could be taken to make it easier for women pursuing a career in academia. The most popular solutions were kindergartens at HEls, campaigns to make academics at universities more aware of gender equality issues and specific sup port programs for women, which around en, which around wo-thirds of those completing the

Specific scholarships for women
 More traning opportunities for women - 50,0\% Quotas for flling positions at universities Quotas for ning postions at universties

A Closer Look: The Effects of Special Doctoral Support Programs for Women
So what exactly are the benefits of offering support specifically to women pursuing a doctorate? The responses given across the different groups of respondents draw an incredibly varied image.

For the experts from the project team and other agents promoting gender equality at the University of Bremen surveyed, there is no doubt that the measures in place are necessary. Their perspective focuses primarily on the ong list of structural barriers for women. The aim is to reduce such barriers at least to a certain extent through specific projects for female early-career researchers:
> Women have fewer role models within Wor for wo within their faculties who they can look up to demia and they often face committee and boards consisting predominantly of men
> Women's networks are not yet at the same standard as men's networks.
$>$ There are still subconscious reservations in relation to the achievements of women and this is more or less obvious depending on the subject area in question.
/// "Many female academics live their lives as though it is a high-performance sport. But these demands can make a person feel inferior."
Coach in a qualitative interview

The Coaches involved in perspektive promotion are carefully selected regarding their gender expertise. They too are aware of the aforementioned barriers and yet they mostly notice extremely high (and sometimes unrealistic) standards and demands for perfection women apply to their own work. They speak of feelings of insufficiency. These result from the exceedingly high set goals as well as from the tendency of people socialized as women to accept all tasks and duties assigned to them without ever questioning the situation. It is rather obvious that attempts to juggle eventhing to the best of one's abilly evern. is nevergoing thenell. It is on this basis that most of the coaches focus on delivering their content while also helping participants to maintain a realistic view of what is actually possible so they can have some real experiences of success.
> As a result of this, women are harshe critics when it comes to their own achievements than men working a same level and they often do not believe they have a chance of facing erce competition in the academio world.
> The issue of balancing a career and family still proves to be problematic. Asolution is not in sight neither from subiective nor from a structral por spective. Athough men do sometimes take on more responsibility here, this burden still weighs down more heavil on women.
$\rightarrow$ Despite all of the efforts to the contra ry, sexual violence is still a problem.

The interviewed experts' experiences with the program show that the opportunity to undertake specific training relating to doctoral studies is the main reason women initially take advantage of the perspektive promotion program. As people continue to become more aware of the issues of gender equality - anot her result of participation - having the option of choosing between workshops and training for anyone of any gender and those aimed exclusively at women is becoming increasingly important.
/// "Clarification on job and career paths within and outside the academic world. This was something I could never get any information tips, and experience on within the university or through my supervisor. But the perspektive promotion program provided me with plenty of relevant information as well as addressed my own personal circumstances and questions, which is something that all doctoral students urgently need." Participant in the online survey
/// "What I found surprising was my realization that sessions aimed exclusively at women are extremely effective and provide a relaxed atmosphere. I hope that these amazing sessions will continue." Participant in the online survey
he doctoral supervisors spoken to as part of the process of evaluating perspektive promotion mainly considered workshops and trainings aimed exclusively at women to be a good idea. However, some were not as familiar with the perspektive promotion program as others were On top of that the amount of attention they dedicated to issues
 gender equary andy. One ng al supervisors did agree on was elirper students mand colle ments and are generally less confident han their fellow male students.

60\% of the participants in perspektive promotion surveyed did at least feel they had been addressed directly because the program was aimed exclusively at women. $42 \%$ of those completing the online survey also stated that their ercention of gender equality within the cod sin the and The exceptional quality of the workshops imed exclusively at women was also frequently mentioned in the comments ection of the online survey. Only a ven small minority were in favor of opening up the workshops and training sessions to men too.

The qualitative interviews with participants back up this conclusion: all the women spoken to without exception stated that the unique atmosphere in the sessions, which was more open and less competitive, had created a more constructive and effective learning environment. Some explained that this had
 made them consciously decide agains lued gender sessions on the sa

## Has participating in perspektive

 promotion changed your view on gender equality within the academic world?
4. How Well-Known Is perspektive promotion?

The perspektive promotion program is well known among the women pursuing a doctorate at the University of Bremen, The steady number of participants is proof of this as is the fact that most of those completing the online survey had heard about the various workshops training and counselling via a number of channels. Printed materials (posters and flyers) were named as the top source of information, followed by recommendations by fellow doctoral students and the e-mail newsletter sent out to doctoral students. The recommendation rate s extremely high, with $86 \%$ of those completing the online survey stating that they had told fellow female doctoral students about the perspektive promo tion program

By contrast, the level of awareness among doctoral supervisors across the different faculties was more mixed. While some of those spoken to knew a lot about perspektive promotion, others only had a vague idea of what it was. Some did not even think it was worth having a further discussion because they did not know about the program at all. This matches up with the fact that only $18 \%$ of the participants in the program who completed the online survey heard about perspektive promotion through a ecommendation from a professor.
5. What Has perspektive promotion Achieved?

## Doctorate Development in

 Faculties 06 to 12_ooking back at the most recent doc torate statistics available at the time of going to print (from 2017) is reassuring The proportion of women completing The proportion of women completin doctorates in Faculties 06 to 12 has ncreased significanty, with the figure currently stanaing at $54 \%$. However, looking at the progression over the pas ten years reveals that the increase in the numbers on the proportion of women completing doctorates is somewhat more ambiguous.

While the proportion of female students has remained more or less the same across the individual faculties over the past decade, some faculties have seen a rise in the proportion of women completing doctorates and others have seen a drop As the absolut numbers for doctoral studies and the proportion of women fluctuates hugely in some years, the ten-year average is used here as a benchmark for evaluating the effect based on the evaluation model of the Women Professors Program. This is mostly above $50 \%$ (with the exception of Faculty 07).
/// "During my studies, I wasn't given the tools required for academic work. I picked up everything I needed to know about research and presentations in the perspektive pro motion seminars offered for women starting their doctoral research."
Participant in a qualitative interview

Compared to the ten-year average, Faculties 09 (Cultural Studies) and 10 (Linguistics and Literary Studies) are showing the biggest upward trend, with the figures from the last three years sitting way above that average. The greatest downward trend can be seen in Faculty 07 (Business Studies \& Eco nomics). Despite the fact that it already has a lower ten-year average of $28 \%$ has a , , (w sitting at 3 ), ingures for the past two years were well below the average (oy $6 \%$ and even 1 \%). All of the other facuties are more or less in the region of the ten-year average when it comes to the proportion of women completing doctorates

High Scholarship Rate among Par ticipants at "Exposé Workshop" Generally, there is no way of directly measuring how successful individual perspektive promotion sessions are other than asking the participants for their subjective opinions. One exception here is the "Von der Idee zum Exposé" workshop (workshop on writing a dissertation pro(works) since wing from the pation pros posal) since reports from the participants revealed an extremely high acceptan

Inclusion in the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Toolbox perspektive promotion has been included in the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German Research FoundationDFG) toolbox "Gleichstellung in der Wissenschaft" (gender equality in academia). The toolbox is a freely accessible online information system that provides an overview of the potential range of gender equality measures within research and teaching. The examples of successful practice given in this toolbox are selected through a strict process to guarantee high quality.?
/// "Programs aimed exclusively at women are extremely important as they allow for networks to be built up, ideas to be exchanged, and fellow female doctoral students to make each other stronger." Equality expert in a qualitative interview

Closer Look: Indicators of Suc cess in the Qualitative Interviews What impact has participating in perspektive promotion had on the individual participants? Analyzing the qualitative interviews reveals that the participants gained key skills to help them in their own research work as well as develo ped the abilly to manage the day-to-day aspects of their doctoral studies in spects of their doctoral studies in a more fo
way.

## Effective Process Support

 Almost all of the interviewed participants had taken advantage of several components of the perspektive promotion program. These were selected very caefully along the timeline of their doctora studies. All of the participants interviewed were keen to point out that they could put the content covered to direct use, making it a huge help for them.
## Learning that Lasts

Many of the participants interviewed said that they still made effective use of what they had learned. This applies to the ge heral support with finding their way in the academic world as well as the practical ools and strategies for use during their working day.

## Networking in Peer Groups

For the participants interviewed, one of the most important ways perspektive
promotion helped them was by proviaing opportunities to network with other women working towards a doctorate and thereby eliminating the risk of feeling solated. Interdisciplinary exchange and discussion with other female early-caeer researchers is considered very useful and worth continuing over the ears. In fact perspektive promotion has given rise to networks that realized their given workshen traini with fued own workshops and from the university

## More Realistic Self-Assessmen

The aim of the coaches to encourage more realistic self-assessment worked out for many of the participants. A better understanding of the academic system as a whole and a clearer awareness of the limits to what can actually be achieved by each individual lead to realistic goals being set and then achieved

## Step by Step towards a Doctorate

 Some of those completing the online survey had already mentioned in their open-ended answers that perspektive promotion played a crucial part in the progression of their doctoral project. The qualitative interviews expanded on this element. The interviewees identified the ollowing main factors that encouraged them to keep going: motivation unleashed by discussions with other women pursuing a doctorate, energy released by working with others, and ongoing support through their doctoral studies.
## III. The Future

Continuation Worthwhile The results of the evaluation are unequivocal: perspektive promotion has been hugely successful in its aim of supporting female early-career researchers at the University of Bremen. The program he rs to the apparently ongoing needs caters to the apparently ongoing nead of women pursuing a doctorate in wide variety of ways. This level of tailored suppor is nol avalable in any other form throughout the university. The results achieved for the benefit of he participants are tangible and their feedback when reviewing the program was also extremely positive.
/// "The program has made me more aware of gender issues, especially as really active individuals met through it and the selection process for trainers was as high-quality as you would expect." Participant in the online survey
perspektive promotion has set its own quality standards - many of those com pleting the onine survey agreed with this as did all of the interviewees in the qualitative interviews. Both the qualifications and skills demonstrated by the coaches and the scope and quality of the advice offered were rated as excellent

Numerous studies show that structural aspects are mainly to blame for female academics being underrepresented n management positions. This is why there are serious doubts as to how effective the approach of "fixing the women" (aimed at women as a targe group) is an alternative to bringing about change at the organizational and institutional level ("fixing the system"). ${ }^{8}$ The benefits for participants, as identified by the evaluation of perspektive promotion, clearly point towards a dual approach to paving the way for gender equality in the academic world.

Balancing an academic career with duties of care and precarious employment situations remain the main issues in supporting female early-career researchers. perspektive promotion effectively supports the University of Bremen in
/// "I was strategic when choosing which sessions to attend. I really thought about what would be most useful for me. [...] Writing, time management, and teaching were all areas I thought would be useful in my future career. And I opted for these sessions in particular because it was important to me that they had been explicitly designed for women. Participant in a qualitative interview
promoting gender equality in times with somewhat more favorable conditions for a career in academia compared to previous years. At the very least, the funding to continue has been secured thanks to the fact that the University of Bremen is taking part in the third phase of the Women Professors Program. promotion carries on:
> The program did not reach all the aculties being targeted equally The Faculties of Law (06) and Business Studies \& Economics (07) in particular Studies \& Economics (07) in particular means of formats tailored better to the subjects in question.
> The capacity for some of the workshops and training sessions was not at all close to meeting the demand. This was the case for the regular writing lab in particular. Not only were there often not enough places, but many of the participants also thought that it should be held at least once a week.
/// "perspektive promotion was crucial in helping me to carry on with my doctoral studies. I wouldn't have persisted were it not for this support." Participant in a qualitative interview

By contrast, not all of the topics listed as important by the participants received the same level of response. This means that distributing the limited resources adequately remains a task in the future.
$>$ The interviewed experts and coaches noticed a drop in commitment among the participants that needs to be counteracted.

The evaluative interviews revealed that some of the supervisors and professors noticed content being repeated across the various workshops and training sessions offered for doctoral students at the university, which they considered to be confusing and potentially inefficient. Furthermore, the fact that some faculties knew about the perspektive promotion program while others did not sugeests that while olers for suggests that the strategy for communicating with doctoral supenisors needs to optimized.
nars is just as important. Developing orrid in that the "Gace expedient onsiaring that the "Gleichstellungszuunttskonzept" (future concept of gender equality) at the University of Bremen lin ogether the issues of gender equality and internationalization. The evaluation has also raised a large number of suggestions put forward by the participants. twill be worthwhile following up on these too
Potential for Further Development The project team is aware that the scope is no oonger imited to the humanities and social sciences as in these faculties the gender gap in the context of completed doctorates has shrunk during the course of the program. Discussions about extending the program to the STEM subiects are undenay the TEM subjects are underway. Having said that, the current capacily will not alow all facultes to be catered to in equal measure
Workshops and training conducted in English need to be built upon for a number of reasons. For one thing, the number of foreign early-career researchers is increasing at an astounding rate in Germany. ${ }^{9}$ Boosting the presence of German female early-career researchers at international conferences and semihars is just as important. Developing the
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